Prompt and favorable action on the merits of this application is earnestly solicited. Kindly direct any inquiries to the undersigned at the below-listed address and telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

Allan M. Lowe

Registration Number 19,641

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP

1700 Diagonal Road Suite 310 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 684-1111

March 6, 2001

15

25

said step of setting a plurality of configuration settings comprises setting a plurality of application settings to a common value across each of said plurality of computer entities.

5 3. The method as claimed in claim 1 or 2, further comprising the step of:

entering at least one said setting data via a web interface.

- 10 4. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein a said master computer entity comprises a database storing a plurality of said configuration settings.
 - 5. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein a said master computer entity stores a database containing a list of a plurality of said computer entities within a group.
 - 6. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein a said configuration setting is selected from the set:
- 20 a schedule setting;
 - a retention setting;
 - an exclude setting;
 - an authorised right setting;
 - a limit setting;
- 30 a quota setting;
 - a data file definition setting;

5

10

15

20

25

30

assigning at least one other said computer entity to be a slave computer entity within said group;

said master computer entity applying at least one configuration setting to a said corresponding respective slave computer entity in said same group, to set said slave computer entity is to provide an equivalent functionality to a user as said master computer entity.

- 10. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein said master computer entity of said group operates as a slave computer entity for a further group.
- 11. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein said slave computer entity of said group operates as a slave computer entity in a second group.
- 12. The method as claimed in any one of claims 9 to 11 wherein each said computer entity comprises a headless computer entity.
 - 13. The method as claimed in claim 9, further comprising the step of:

checking whether a said slave computer entity has a same security mode setting as said master computer entity.

14. The method as claimed in claim 9, further comprising the step of:

checking whether a said slave computer entity has a same security mode setting as said master computer entity; and

if said slave computer entity does not have a same security mode setting as said master computer entity, then rejecting assigning of said slave computer entity to be a slave computer entity within said group.